

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR CONFIRMATION NO. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 10/602,543 06/24/2003 Matthew W. Holtcamp 2003U015.US 1205 7590 12/01/2004 EXAMINER **UNIVATION TECHNOLOGIES** BROWN, JENNINE M ATTN: KEVIN FAULKNER Suite 1950 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 5555 San Felipe 1755 Houston, TX 77056

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u>a</u>]
		10/602,543	HOLTCAMP ET AL.	12
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit	
	,	Jennine M. Brown	1755	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wit	h the correspondence address	
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reprivation of the provision of the	J. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re eply within the statutory minimum of thirty od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT ute, cause the application to become AB	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communicati ANDONED (35 U.S.C. & 133).	ion.
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	nis action is non-final.		
3)	Since this application is in condition for allow	ance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits	is
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Dispositi	on of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application	n.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr	awn from consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,3,4,6,8,9,11-13,15,16,18-21,23 ar	nd 25-31 is/are rejected.		
7)[\	Claim(s) 2, 5, 7, 10, 14, 17, 22 and 24 is/are	objected to.		
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.		
Applicati	on Papers			
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ ac	cepted or b) objected to b	y the Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeyand	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			(d).
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) 🗌 .	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documer	nts have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documer		-	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri		eceived in this National Stage	
* 0	application from the International Bure			
^ S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a lis	it of the certified copies not re	eceived.	
Attachment	• •			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date	
3) 🛛 Inform	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08	5) Notice of Info	ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)	1
⊢aper	No(s)/Mail Date <u>10/15/2003</u> .	6) [] Other:		l

Application/Control Number: 10/602,543

Art Unit: 1755

Claim Objections

Claims 5 and 6 are objected to because of the following informalities: The period is missing from the end of the sentence. Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 11-13, 15, 16, 18-21, 23, 25-31 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-22 of copending Publication US 2003/0171211 A1.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both claim a catalyst system comprising a catalyst and activator where the activator comprises two or more heterocyclic nitrogen containing ligands. M is a group 13 atom and would have a valence of +3, therefore x=3 and since the activator is further disclosed in claim 8 as a nitrogen containing heterocycle, these

Art Unit: 1755

claims would be obvious variants over one another, where the instant application would be the genus of the species claimed in the copending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2, 5, 7, 10, 14, 17, 22 and 24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jennine M. Brown whose telephone number is (571) 272-1364. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00 AM - 6:00 PM; first Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on (571) 272-1362. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,543

Art Unit: 1755

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jmb

/ / Mark L. Bell Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700